CS 4774 Machine Learning Model Selection and Validation

Yangfeng Ji

Information and Language Processing Lab Department of Computer Science University of Virginia



- 1. Overview
- 2. Model Validation
- 3. Model Selection
- 4. Model Selection in Practice

Overview

Polynominals

Polynomial regression



Since we cannot compute the true error of any given hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$

- How to evaluate the performance for a given model?
- How to select the best model among a few candidates?

Model Validation

The simplest way to estimate the true error of a predictor h

 Independently sample an additional set of examples V with size m_v

$$V = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_{m_v}, y_{m_v})\}$$
 (1)

• Evaluate the predictor *h* on this validation set

$$L_V(h) = \frac{|\{i \in [m_v] : h(x) \neq y_i\}|}{m_v}.$$
 (2)

Usually, $L_V(h)$ is a good approximation to $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$

Model Selection

Given the training set S and the validation set V

For each model configuration *c*, find the best hypothesis $h_c(x, S)$

$$h_c(\mathbf{x}, S) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}_c} L_S(h'(\mathbf{x}, S))$$
(3)

Given the training set *S* and the validation set *V*

For each model configuration *c*, find the best hypothesis $h_c(x, S)$

$$h_c(\mathbf{x}, S) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}_c} L_S(h'(\mathbf{x}, S))$$
(3)

▶ With a collection of best models with different configurations $\mathcal{H}' = \{h_{c_1}(x, S), \dots, h_{c_k}(x, S)\}$, find the overall best hypothesis

$$h(x,S) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathcal{H}'} L_V(h'(x,S)) \tag{4}$$

Given the training set S and the validation set V

For each model configuration *c*, find the best hypothesis $h_c(x, S)$

$$h_c(\mathbf{x}, S) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}_c} L_S(h'(\mathbf{x}, S))$$
(3)

▶ With a collection of best models with different configurations $\mathcal{H}' = \{h_{c_1}(x, S), \dots, h_{c_k}(x, S)\}$, find the overall best hypothesis

$$h(x,S) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathcal{H}'} L_V(h'(x,S)) \tag{4}$$

It is similar to learn with the finite hypothesis space H'

Consider polynomial regression

$$\mathcal{H}_d = \{ w_0 + w_1 x + \dots + w_d x^d : w_0, w_1, \dots, w_d \in \mathbb{R} \}$$
(5)

- the degree of polynomials d
- regularization coefficient λ as in $\lambda \cdot ||w||_2^2$
- the bias term w_0

Consider polynomial regression

$$\mathcal{H}_d = \{ w_0 + w_1 x + \dots + w_d x^d : w_0, w_1, \dots, w_d \in \mathbb{R} \}$$
(5)

- the degree of polynomials d
- regularization coefficient λ as in $\lambda \cdot ||w||_2^2$
- the bias term w_0

Additional factors during learning

- Optimization methods
- Dimensionality of inputs, etc.

If the validation set is

- small, then it could be biased and could not give a good approximation to the true error
- large, e.g., the same order of the training set, then we waste the information if do not use the examples for training.

The basic procedure of *k*-fold cross validation:

Split the whole data set into *k* parts

Data

The basic procedure of *k*-fold cross validation:

- Split the whole data set into *k* parts
- For each model configuration, run the learning procedure k times
 - Each time, pick one part as validation set and the rest as training set

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

The basic procedure of *k*-fold cross validation:

- Split the whole data set into *k* parts
- For each model configuration, run the learning procedure k times
 - Each time, pick one part as validation set and the rest as training set
- ▶ Take the average of *k* validation errors as the model error

Fold 1 F	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5
----------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Cross-Validation Algorithm

- Input: (1) training set S; (2) set of parameter values Θ; (3) learning algorithm A, and (4) integer k
- 2: Partition *S* into S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k
- 3: for $\theta_t \in \Theta$ do
- 4: **for** i = 1, ..., k **do**
- 5: $h_{i,\theta_t} = A(S \setminus S_i; \theta_t)$
- 6: end for
- 7: $\operatorname{Err}(\theta_t) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k L_{S_i}(h_{i,\theta_t})$
- 8: end for
- 9: **Output**: $\hat{\theta} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta_t \in \Theta} \operatorname{Err}(\theta_t)$

In practice, *k* is usually 5 or 10.

Train-Validation-Test Split

- Training set: used for learning with a pre-selected hypothesis space, such as
 - logistic regression for classification
 - polynomial regression with d = 15 and $\lambda = 0.1$
- Validation set: used for selecting the best hypothesis across multiple hypothesis spaces
 - ▶ Similar to learning with a finite hypothesis space ℋ
- Test set: only used for evaluating the overall best hypothesis

Train-Validation-Test Split

- Training set: used for learning with a pre-selected hypothesis space, such as
 - logistic regression for classification
 - polynomial regression with d = 15 and $\lambda = 0.1$
- Validation set: used for selecting the best hypothesis across multiple hypothesis spaces
 - ▶ Similar to learning with a finite hypothesis space ℋ
- Test set: only used for evaluating the overall best hypothesis

Typical splits on all available data

Train Val Test

Train-Validation-Test Split

- Training set: used for learning with a pre-selected hypothesis space, such as
 - logistic regression for classification
 - polynomial regression with d = 15 and $\lambda = 0.1$
- Validation set: used for selecting the best hypothesis across multiple hypothesis spaces
 - ▶ Similar to learning with a finite hypothesis space ℋ
- Test set: only used for evaluating the overall best hypothesis

Typical splits on all available data

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Test
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	------

Model Selection in Practice

Get a larger sample

- Get a larger sample
- Change the hypothesis class by
 - Enlarging it
 - Reducing it
 - Completely changing it
 - Changing the parameters you consider

- Get a larger sample
- Change the hypothesis class by
 - Enlarging it
 - Reducing it
 - Completely changing it
 - Changing the parameters you consider
- Change the feature representation of the data (usually domain dependent)

- Get a larger sample
- Change the hypothesis class by
 - Enlarging it
 - Reducing it
 - Completely changing it
 - Changing the parameters you consider
- Change the feature representation of the data (usually domain dependent)
- Change the optimization algorithm used to apply your learning rule (lecture on optimization methods)

With two additional terms

- $L_V(h_S)$: validation error
- $L_S(h_S)$: empirical (*or* training) error

the true error of h_S can be decomposed as

$$L_{\mathfrak{D}}(h_{S}) = \underbrace{(L_{\mathfrak{D}}(h_{S}) - L_{V}(h_{S}))}_{(1)} + \underbrace{(L_{V}(h_{S}) - L_{S}(h_{S}))}_{(2)} + \underbrace{L_{S}(h_{S})}_{(3)}$$

- Item (1) is bounded by the previous theorem
- Item (2) is large: overfitting
- Item (3) is large: underfitting

$$h_{S} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}} L_{S}(h') \tag{6}$$

$$h_{S} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}} L_{S}(h') \tag{6}$$

If $L_S(h_S)$ is large, it is possible that

- 1. the hypothesis space $\mathcal H$ is not large enough
- 2. the hypothesis space is large enough, but your implementation has some bugs

$$h_{S} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}} L_{S}(h') \tag{6}$$

If $L_S(h_S)$ is large, it is possible that

- 1. the hypothesis space $\mathcal H$ is not large enough
- 2. the hypothesis space is large enough, but your implementation has some bugs

Q: How to distinguish these two?

$$h_{S} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{h' \in \mathscr{H}} L_{S}(h') \tag{6}$$

If $L_S(h_S)$ is large, it is possible that

- 1. the hypothesis space $\mathcal H$ is not large enough
- 2. the hypothesis space is large enough, but your implementation has some bugs

Q: How to distinguish these two?

A: Find an existing simple baseline model

- ... with a small $L_S(h_S)$, it is possible that
 - 1. the hypothesis space is too large
 - 2. you may not have enough training examples
 - 3. the hypothesis space is inappropriate

... with a small $L_S(h_S)$, it is possible that

- 1. the hypothesis space is too large
- 2. you may not have enough training examples
- 3. the hypothesis space is inappropriate

Comments

- Issue 1 and 2 are easy to fix
 - Get more data if possible, or reduce the hypothesis space
- How to distinguish issue 3 from 1 and 2?

With different proportions of training examples, we can plot the training and validation errors



Figure: Examples of learning curves [Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David, 2014, Page 153].

With different proportions of training examples, we can plot the training and validation errors



Figure: Examples of learning curves [Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David, 2014, Page 153].

Reference



Shalev-Shwartz, S. and Ben-David, S. (2014). Understanding machine learning: From theory to algorithms.

Cambridge university press.